

United States Patent and Trademark Office

EPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO	
10/643,653	08/19/2003	Joshua D. Posamentier	42.P16446X	5253	
7590 04/06/2006			EXAMINER		
Jan Carol Little			VAN ROY, TOD THOMAS		
BLAKELY, SO Seventh Floor	KOLOFF, TAYLOR & 2	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
12400 Wilshire Boulevard			2828		
Los Angeles, CA 90025-1026			DATE MAILED: 04/06/2006		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
10/643,653	POSAMENTIER, JOSHUA D.		
Examiner - W	Art Unit		
Tod T. Van Roy	2828		

•		100 1. Vall Roy	2020	
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence add	lress
THE RE	PLY FILED 17 March 2006 FAILS TO PLACE THIS AF	PLICATION IN CONDITION FOR	ALLOWANCE.	
th pl a	ne reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or or is application, applicant must timely file one of the followaces the application in condition for allowance; (2) a No Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliant the periods:	wing replies: (1) an amendment, at otice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in	ffidavit, or other evider compliance with 37 C	nce, which FR 41.31; or (3)
a) 🔀	The period for reply expires <u>3</u> months from the mailing date	e of the final rejection.		
b)	The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this and event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or	later than SIX MONTHS from the mailir	ng date of the final reject	on.
	TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 7	706.07(f).		
have bee under 37 set forth may red	ns of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of example of the control of the cont	tension and the corresponding amount shortened statutory period for reply original three months after the mailing described in the mailing describ	t of the fee. The appropriginally set in the final Off	iate extension fee ice action; or (2) as
2. TI	ne Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in coming the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exte	ension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), t	o avoid dismissal of th	
	Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed MENTS	within the time period set forth in	3/ CFR 41.3/(a).	
		but prior to the data of filing a bria	f will not be entered b	00001150
(a	the proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, They raise new issues that would require further co They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below)	onsideration and/or search (see NC		ecause
•	They are not deemed to place the application in be appeal; and/or		educing or simplifying	the issues for
(d	They present additional claims without canceling a	corresponding number of finally re	ejected claims.	
·	NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a))			
_	The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1 Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s		ompliant Amendment	(PTOL-324).
6. 🔲 1	lewly proposed or amended claim(s) would be a on-allowable claim(s).		, timely filed amendme	ent canceling the
h	or purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) ow the new or amended claims would be rejected is prone status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:		vill be entered and an	explanation of
C	laim(s) allowed: laim(s) objected to:			
	laim(s) rejected: laim(s) withdrawn from consideration:			
	VIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE	•		
8. 🔲 TI be	ne affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, because applicant failed to provide a showing of good areas not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).		- •	
eı	ne affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing ntered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to nowing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessa	overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appe	eal and/or appellant fa	ils to provide a
	The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation of the consideration of the consid	on of the status of the claims after	entry is below or attac	hed.
	The request for reconsideration has been considered be please see attached response to arguments.	ut does NOT place the application	in condition for allowa	nce because:
	Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s).	(PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Paper	No(s)	
13. 🔲 (Other:			

Application/Control Number: 10/643,653

Art Unit: 2828

DETAILED ACTION

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments filed 03/17/2006 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

The examiner reiterates that the device of Hongo is believed to read on the claim limitation regarding "compensating for a change in optical fiber tracking". As the temperature of the system increases, the fiber tracking will vary (noted occurrence as in applicant's spec. pgs.6-7, also discussed in the Final Rejection), the resistance value of the thermistor will change, and the amount of driving current to the semiconductor laser will increase, which in turn will increase the amount of light output to the fiber optic cable. The increase amount of light output "compensates" for the change in fiber tracking. Although the device of Hongo does not specify the use of the system to be for "compensating" fiber tracking, it is believed that the function is nonetheless performed by the method outlined above. The examiner also notes that "compensates" and "adjusting" are broad limitations given no further description in the claim language. For these reasons it is believed that the Hongo reference is properly applied as a USC 102(e) rejection.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Tod T. Van Roy whose telephone number is (571)272-8447. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F.

Application/Control Number: 10/643,653

Art Unit: 2828

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Minsun Harvey can be reached on (571)272-1835. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

JAMES

TVR

Page 3